

United States Patent and Trademark Office

V

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/583,726 | 06/20/2006 | Verena Weiskopf | 13156-00056-US1 | 7128 |
| | 7590 11/29/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 2207 | | DAVIS, BRIAN J | | |
| WILMINGTON, DE 19899 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 1621 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/29/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/583,726 | WEISKOPF ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Brian J. Davis | 1621 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| • | | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | 5) Claim(s) <u>2-7,10 and 12-15</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>9 and 11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc | epted or b)□ objected to by the B | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| | te of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| | er No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/06. | 6) Other: | | | | |

Claim Objections

DETAILED ACTION

- Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term
 "phosphomium [sic] atoms" in the last line of the claim should be "phosphonium ion."
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the interest of consistency, the letters "y" and "z" should be capitalized. (Compare variable X in the 4th line of the claim.) Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Applicant's assistance is respectfully requested in correcting any other minor grammatical and/or spelling errors which may be present in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 9 provides for the use of ionic liquids in hydrogenations, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. Claim 9 is also rejected because the phrase "if appropriate" is unclear because it is undefined.

10/583,726 Art Unit: 1621

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "irreversible occupation of the catalyst" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 2-7, 10 and 12-15 allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- 10. The closest prior art appears to be US 3,919,271, cited by applicant in the IDS, which teaches catalytic hydrogenations using dispersions of metal halides in molten ammonium or phosphonium trihalostannates or trihalogermanates (column 1, line 65). The cited prior art neither teaches nor suggests the instant nitrile hydrogenation. Nor would it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

to modify the process of the prior art in order to arrive at that of the instant invention.

There is no motivation to do so.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 3,657,368 is cited to show related hydrogenations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached at 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,726 Art Unit: 1621

Brian J. Davis November 22, 2007 Page 5